Dru-ghana is found in the Mudgala hymn of the Rigveda<sup>1</sup> and in the Atharvaveda.\* The sense is uncertain. Yāska3 renders it as a 'ghana made of wood,' probably, as Roth' takes it, meaning a 'club of wood.' Geldner' thinks that it was a wooden bull used by Mudgala as a substitute for a second bull when he wanted to join in a race. But this interpretation of the legend is very improbable.6 Whitney? translates the word as 'tree-smiter' in the Atharvaveda, quoting Sayana, who explains it as a 'cutting instrument,' so called because trees are struck with it.

```
1 x. 102, 9.
```

Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft. 46, 462; Bloomfield, ibid., 48, 456; Franko, Vienna Oviental Journal, 8, 342.

<sup>7</sup> Translation of the Atharvaveda,

Dru-pada, a "wooden pillar' or 'post,' is several times referred to in the Rigveda<sup>1</sup> and later.<sup>2</sup> Sunahsepa was bound to three posts for sacrifice.3 Thieves, there is some evidence to show, were tied to posts as a penalty for stealing.4

```
1 i. 24, 13; iv. 32, 23.
```

4 Av. xix. 47, 9; 50, 1. Cf. vi. 63, 3 =84, 4. See Zimmer, Altindisches Leben, 181, 182, and Taskara, n. 26.

Druma, 'tree,' is not found until the later period in the Sadvimśa Brāhmana (v. 11) and the Nirukta (iv. 19; v. 26; ix. 23).

Druvaya, 'wooden,' is used in the Atharvaveda<sup>1</sup> as an epithet of the drum.

```
1 v. 20, 2. Cf. xi. 1, 12, where it is | in part read dhraveye, and the Paip-
an epithet of upaivasa, 'blower,' pos-
sibly 'bellows'; but the manuscripts
```

palāda has druye.

Dru-han, 'wood-cutter,' seems to be meant by the word druhantara in the Rigveda,1 where it is usually taken as druhamtara, 'fiend-overpowering.' But as an epithet of parasu, 'axe,' the other sense ('mighty wood-cutter')2 is more probable.8

<sup>2</sup> vii. 28, I.

<sup>3</sup> Nirukta, ix. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> St. Petersburg Dictionary, s.v.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Vedische Studien, 2, 3, 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Cf. von Bradke, Zeitschrift der 407.

<sup>2</sup> Av. vi. 63, 3; 115, 2; xix. 47, 9; Väjasaneyi Sambita, xx. 20.

<sup>3</sup> Rv. i. 24, 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> i. 127, 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But if this explanation is correct, the word should have the accentuation | 3 Cf. Oldenberg, Rgvida-Notes, 1, 130.

of the comparative. See Oldenberg. Sacred Books of the East, 46, 132.