Vyā-khyāna in one passage of the Satapatha Brāhmaṇa¹ clearly denotes a 'narrative' merely—viz., that of the dispute of Kadrū and Suparnī. In other passages² the word means simply 'commentary.' In the Brhadāranyaka Upaniṣad,³ used in the plural, it signifies a species of writing, apparently 'commentaries,' though its exact relation to Anuvyākhyāna must remain obscure. Sieg⁴ thinks that the Vyākhyānas were forms of narrative like Anvākhyāna and Anuvyākhyāna.

```
<sup>1</sup> iii. 6, 2, 7.

<sup>2</sup> vi. 1, 27. 33; vii. 2, 4, 28.

<sup>3</sup> ii. 4, 10; iv. 1, 6 (Mādhyamdina = 2 Kānva); 5, 11.

<sup>4</sup> Die Sagenstoffe des Rgveda, 21, 34.
```

Vyāghra, 'tiger,' is never found in the Rigveda, but frequently occurs in the Atharvaveda,¹ as well as the lion This fact is legitimately regarded as an indication that the Atharvaveda belongs to a period when the Vedic Indian had approached and entered the territory of Bengal. Later,² also, mention of the tiger is quite common. The Taittirīya Saṃhitā³ preserves a reference to the danger of waking a sleeping tiger. The destructive character of the animal is often alluded to,⁴ the man-eater (puruṣād)⁵ being also mentioned. Like the lion, the tiger passes as a symbol of strength.⁶ This idea is illustrated by the fact that the king at the Rājasūya ('royal consecration') steps² on a tiger's skin to win himself the strength of the animal. Cf. also Śārdūla, Petva.

```
<sup>1</sup> iv. 3, 1; 36, 6; vi. 38, 1; 103, 3; 140, 1; xii. 1, 49; 2, 43; xix. 46, 5; 49, 4.

<sup>2</sup> Taittirīya Samhitā, vi. 2, 5, 5; Kāthaka Samhitā, xvii. 2; Maitrāyanī Samhitā, ii. 1, 9; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xiv. 9; xix. 10; Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, xii. 7, 1, 8; Chāndogya Upaniṣad, vi. 9, 3; 10, 2, etc.

<sup>3</sup> v. 4, 10, 5.
```

Vyāghra-padya is a false reading in the Chāndogya Upanisad (v. 16, 1) for Vaiyāghrapadya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cf: Av. iv. 36, 6; viii. 5, 11, and see Sasayu.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Av. xii. 1, 49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Av. iv. 8, 4. 7. Cf. Yāska, Nirukta, iii. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Av. iv. 8, 4. Cf. Eggeling, Sacred Books of the East, 41, 92. He does not wear the skin, as Zimmer, Altindisches Leben, 79, says.

Cf. Schrader, Prehistoric Antiquities 249, 250.