itself in the sands of Patiala (see Vinasana). Even Roth<sup>3</sup> admits that this river is intended in some passages of the Rigveda. With the Dṛṣadvatī<sup>4</sup> it formed the western boundary of Brahmāvarta (see Madhyadesa). It is the holy stream of early Vedic India. The Sūtras<sup>5</sup> mention sacrifices held on its banks aş of great importance and sanctity.

In many other passages of the Rigveda,<sup>6</sup> and even later,<sup>7</sup> Roth held that another river, the **Sindhu** (Indus), was really meant: only thus could it be explained why the Sarasvatī is called the 'foremost of rivers' (nadītamā),<sup>8</sup> is said to go to the ocean,<sup>9</sup> and is referred to as a large river, on the banks of which many kings,<sup>10</sup> and, indeed, the five tribes, were located.<sup>11</sup> This view is accepted by Zimmer<sup>12</sup> and others.<sup>13</sup>

On the other hand, Lassen<sup>14</sup> and Max Müller<sup>15</sup> maintain the identity of the Vedic Sarasvatī with the later Sarasvatī.<sup>16</sup> The latter is of opinion that in Vedic times the Sarasvatī was as large a stream as the Sutlej, and that it actually reached the

3 Rv. iii. 23, 4 (where the Dṛṣadvatī appears); x. 64, 9: 75, 5 (where the Sindhu also is mentioned).

4 Probably the modern Chautang, which flows to the east of Thanesar. Cf. Oldham, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 25, 58; Imperial Gasettee of India, 26, Plate 32.

5 Kātyāyana Śrauta Sūtra, xii. 3, 20; xxiv. 6, 22; Lātyāyana Śrauta Sūtra, x. 15, 1; 18, 13; 19, 4; Aśvalāyana Śrauta Sūtra, xii. 6, 2, 3; Śāńkhāyana Śrauta Sūtra, xiii. 29.

6 i. 89, 3; 164, 19; ii. 41, 16 et seq; 30, \$; 32, 8; iii. 54, 13; v. 42, 12; 43, 11; 46, 2; vi. 49, 7; 50, 12; 52, 6; vii 9, 5; 36, 6; 39, 5; 40, 3; viii. 21, 17; 54 4; x. 17, 7; 30, 12; 131, 5; 184, 2. 7 Av. iv. 4. 6; v. 23, 1; vi. 3, 2; 89, 3; viii. 68, 1; xiv. 2, 15, 20; xvi. 4, 4; xix. 32, 9; Taittirīya Samhitā, 1. 8, 13, 3; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xix. 93; xxxiv. 11; Satapatha Brāhmana, i. 6, 2, 4; xi. 4, 3, 3; xii. 7, 1, 12; 2, 5; Bradāraņyaka Upaniṣad, vi. 3, 8. These passages should all be classed in n. 2.

<sup>8</sup> Rv. ii. 41, 16.

<sup>9</sup> Rv. vi. 61, 2, 8; vii. 96, 2.

<sup>10</sup> Rv. viii. 21, 18.

<sup>11</sup> Rv. vi. 61, 12.

<sup>12</sup> Altindisches Leben, 5-10.

<sup>13</sup> E.g., Griffith, Hymns of the Rigueda, 1, 60; 2, 90, etc.; Ludwig, Translation of the Rigueda, 3, 201, 202.

<sup>14</sup> Indische Aiterthumskunde, 12, 118.

<sup>15</sup> Sacred Books of the East, 32, 60,

<sup>16</sup> In the enumeration of rivers (evidently from east to west) in Rv. x. 75, 5, Gangā, Yamunā, Sarasvatī, Sutudrī, the Sarasvatī comes between the Jumna and the Sutlej, the position of the modern Sarsūti (Saraswatī), which, flowing to the west of Thanesar, is joined in Patiala territory by a more westerly stream, the Ghaggar, and, passing Sirsa, is lost in the desert at Bhatnair; but a dry river bed (Hakra or Ghaggar) can be traced from that point to the Indus. See Imperial Gasetteer of India, 26, Plate 32. Cf. also Oldham. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 25, 49-76.